

State of Utah DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES Division of Oil, Gas & Mining

MICHAEL R. STYLER Executive Director JOHN R. BAZA Division Director

Inspection Report Minerals Regulatory Program

October 7, 2009

Mine Name: Topaz Mine	Permit Number: M/023/0003			
Operator Name: Brush Resources, Inc.	Inspection Date: October 6, 2009			
Inspector(s): Wayne Western	Time: 2:50 – 4:00 PM			
Other Participants: John Wagoner, Permittee	Mine Status: Active			
Elements of Inspection	Evaluated	Comment	Enforcement	
1. Permits, Revisions, Transfer, Bonds	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		

	Elements of Inspection	Evaluated	Comment	Emorcement
1.	Permits, Revisions, Transfer, Bonds	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	
2.	Public Safety (shafts, adits, trash, signs, highwalls)	$\overline{\boxtimes}$		
3.	Protection of Drainages / Erosion Control			
4.	Deleterious Material			
5.	Roads (maintenance, surfacing, dust control, safety)			
6.	Reclamation	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	
7.	Backfilling/Grading (trenches, pits, roads, highwalls,	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	
8.	Soils			
9.	Revegetation	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	
10.	Other			

Purpose of Inspection:

The primary purpose of this inspection was to determine if the backfilling and grading work at the Fluro Phase I area was adequate.

Inspection Summary:

John Wagoner, the Operator's representative and Wayne Western, the Division's representative did and on site inspection of the reclaimed area. The Division evaluated that the Operator has partially reclaimed in 2007. The partial reclamation consisted of backfilling, grading and topsoil placement in addition to seeding.

The area was stable. There were so signs of erosion or slope instability. The Operator had the site contoured so in order to create microenvironments so that vegetation could be established. In addition, the Operator also has rock piles placed on the surface to enhance wildlife such as rabbits, mice and small birds.

The Operator originally reclaimed the site in 2007. During the reclamation, the Operator had the site seeded. During the inspection, both parties noticed that there was vegetation on the site. Since Phase I bond release does not require the establishment of vegetation, there was no needed to determine the species present or their density.

Note: No photographs of the site were taken during the inspection. Instead of taking photographs the camera to video. A copy of the videos is in the image file for the mine.

Inspection Date: October 6, 2009

Page 2 of 2 M/023/0003

Conclusions and Recommendations:

The Division should consider Phase I bond release on the site based on the on ground conditions.

Inspector's Signature I hee 21, 2004

WHW:pb

cc: (OPERATOR)
O:\M023-Juab\M0230003-Topaz-brush\draft\INSP_10062009.doc